

A note on approximation of analytic Lipschitz functions on strips and semi-strips

D. M. Stolyarov

September 6, 2017

Abstract

We give an alternative proof of the central lemma in [1] and provide a slight generalization.

1 The first problem

We investigate analytic functions on strips. We denote the real and imaginary parts of z by $\Re z$ and $\Im z$ respectively. For any $\kappa \geq 0$, let Π_κ be the strip of width 2κ :

$$\Pi_\kappa = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\Im z| \leq \kappa\}$$

and let Π_κ^+ be the semi-strip of the same width

$$\Pi_\kappa = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\Im z| \leq \kappa, \Re z \geq 0\}.$$

We start with a reformulation of Lemma 3.1 in [1].

Lemma 1.1. *For any $0 < \beta < \gamma$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a number $C = C(\varepsilon, \beta, \gamma)$ with the following property. For any analytic function $U: \Pi_\beta^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ there exists an analytic function $V: \Pi_\gamma^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$\|V - U\|_{L_\infty(\Pi_0^+)} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|V\|_{\text{Lip}(\Pi_\gamma^+)} \leq C\|U\|_{\text{Lip}(\Pi_\beta^+)}. \quad (1.1)$$

In [1], the authors used analytic partition of unity and the Jackson–Bernstein theorem to prove Lemma 1.1. We present another approach and start with a slight generalization.

Lemma 1.2. *For any Lipschitz function $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, any $\gamma > 0$, and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(\varepsilon, \gamma)$ and an entire function V such that*

$$\|f - V\|_{L_\infty(\Pi_0^+)} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|V\|_{\text{Lip}(\Pi_\gamma^+)} \leq C\|f\|_{\text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}_+)}. \quad (1.2)$$

Proof. Let \tilde{f} be any Lipschitz extension of f to the whole real line. Fix a Schwartz function χ on the line with spectrum in $[-1, 1]$ and unit integral. Define f_δ by the formula

$$f_\delta = \tilde{f} * \chi_\delta, \quad \chi_\delta(x) = \delta^{-1}\chi(\delta^{-1}x).$$

The spectrum of f_δ belongs to $[-\delta^{-1}, \delta^{-1}]$. By the Paley–Wiener–Schwartz theorem, f_δ extends to the entire function V of type δ^{-1} . We shall prove that V is the function we are looking for provided δ is sufficiently small. Let us prove the first property:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tilde{f} - \tilde{f} * \chi_\delta \right|(x) &= \left| \int (\tilde{f}(x) - \tilde{f}(x-y))\chi\left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right) d\frac{y}{\delta} \right| \lesssim \|\tilde{f}\|_{\text{Lip}} \int |y|\chi\left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right) d\frac{y}{\delta} \lesssim \\ &\|f\|_{\text{Lip}} \int |\delta y|\chi(y) dy = O(\delta)\|f\|_{\text{Lip}}. \end{aligned}$$

So, the first property is satisfied if we take $\delta = K\varepsilon$ for sufficiently small constant K . Let us verify the second one. We have to estimate $\|\partial V\|_{L_\infty(\Pi_\gamma^+)}$. We express $\partial V(\cdot, y)$ in terms of \tilde{f}' :

$$\partial V(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2\pi i \xi \hat{f}'_\delta(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi z} d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2\pi i \xi \hat{\chi}(\delta\xi) \hat{f}'(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi(x+iy)} d\xi, \quad z = x + iy.$$

Thus, $\partial V(\cdot, y)$ can be expressed as $M_y[\tilde{f}']$, where M_y is the Fourier multiplier with the symbol $\hat{\chi}(\delta\xi)e^{-2\pi y\xi}$. It suffices to prove that this multiplier acts on L_∞ with uniformly bounded norm when y is bounded. This is trivial since the symbol $\hat{\chi}(\delta\xi)e^{-2\pi y\xi}$ is uniformly bounded in any Schwartz semi-norm. \square

2 Extensions of analytic functions

Lemma 2.1. *For any $0 < \beta < \gamma$, any $\varepsilon > 0$, and any analytic function $U: \Pi_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, there exists an analytic function $V: \Pi_\gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$\|U - V\|_{L_\infty(\Pi_\beta)} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|V\|_{\text{Lip}(\Pi_\gamma)} \leq C(\beta, \gamma, \varepsilon) \|U\|_{\text{Lip}(\Pi_\beta)}. \quad (2.1)$$

This lemma can be proved by the same method as Lemma 1.2. For semi-strips, additional efforts are required.

Lemma 2.2. *For any $0 < \beta < \gamma$, any $\varepsilon > 0$, and any analytic function $U: \Pi_\beta^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, there exists an analytic function $V: \Pi_\gamma^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$\|U - V\|_{L_\infty(\Pi_\beta^+ + 1)} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|V\|_{\text{Lip}(\Pi_\gamma^+)} \leq C(\beta, \gamma, \varepsilon) \|U\|_{\text{Lip}(\Pi_\beta^+)}. \quad (2.2)$$

We approximate U not on the whole semi-strip Π_β^+ , but on a smaller set

$$\Pi_\beta^+ + 1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\Im z| \leq \beta, \Re z \geq 1\}.$$

Proof. We extend U to the whole strip Π_β preserving its Lipschitz constant in such a manner that the extension \tilde{U} is constant when $\Re z \leq -\beta$. After that we convolve \tilde{U} with χ_δ in the same manner as we did in the proof of Lemma 1.2 and get the function W . This function is not analytic on Π_β , however, its boundary values $f|_{\Im z = \pm\beta}$ allow analytic extensions to Π_γ . Denote these extensions by W_+ and W_- respectively. Consider the function $\tilde{W}: \Pi_\gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by the formula

$$\tilde{W} = \begin{cases} W_+, & \Im z \in [\beta, \gamma]; \\ W, & z \in \Pi_\beta; \\ W_-, & \Im z \in [-\gamma, -\beta]. \end{cases}$$

As we have seen, \tilde{W} is Lipschitz in Π_γ and approximates \tilde{U} in Π_β if $\delta \leq K\varepsilon$ for sufficiently small constant K . The only problem is that \tilde{W} is not analytic, namely,

$$\bar{\partial}\tilde{W} = \begin{cases} 0, & \Im z \in [\beta, \gamma]; \\ \bar{\partial}\tilde{U} * \chi_\delta, & z \in \Pi_\beta; \\ 0, & \Im z \in [-\gamma, -\beta]. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Note that $\bar{\partial}\tilde{U}$ does not vanish on $[-\beta, 0] \times [-\beta, \beta]$ only and is bounded by $\|U\|_{\text{Lip}}$ there. Therefore, $\bar{\partial}\tilde{W}$ is rapidly decaying at infinity,

$$|\bar{\partial}\tilde{W}(z)| \lesssim (1 + \delta^{-1}|\Re z|)^{-10} \|U\|_{\text{Lip}}, \quad \text{when } \Re z > 0. \quad (2.4)$$

To make \tilde{W} a smooth function, we convolve it with a non-negative C^∞ -function of two variables supported in $[-\delta, \delta]^2$, having unit integral, and denote the result of such a convolution by $\tilde{\tilde{W}}$. Then,

$$\|\tilde{\tilde{W}} - \tilde{W}\|_{\Pi_\beta} \leq \varepsilon$$

and the inequality (2.4) holds for $\tilde{\tilde{W}}$ in the place of \tilde{W} as well, provided δ is sufficiently small. What is more, $\tilde{\tilde{W}}$ is a smooth function, whose smoothness depends on δ . We consider the correction term

$$E(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Pi_\gamma} \frac{\bar{\partial} \tilde{\tilde{W}}(\zeta) dm(\zeta)}{\zeta - z},$$

(we integrate with respect to the Lebesgue measure). Then, the function $V = \tilde{\tilde{W}} - E$ is analytic on Π_γ . We need to prove that E has small L_∞ norm on $\Pi_\beta^\pm + 1$ and has bounded Lipschitz norm.

The first estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Pi_\gamma} \frac{\bar{\partial} \tilde{\tilde{W}}(\zeta) dm(\zeta)}{z - \zeta} \right| \stackrel{(2.4)}{\lesssim} \left| \int_{\Pi_\gamma} \frac{(1 + \delta^{-1} |\Re \zeta|)^{-10} dm(\zeta)}{|z - \zeta|} \right| \|U\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq \\ & \left| \int_{|\zeta - z| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{(1 + \delta^{-1} |\Re \zeta|)^{-10} dm(\zeta)}{|z - \zeta|} \right| \|U\|_{\text{Lip}} + \left| \int_{\{|\zeta - z| \geq \frac{1}{2}\} \cap \Pi_\gamma} \frac{(1 + \delta^{-1} |\Re \zeta|)^{-10} dm(\zeta)}{|z - \zeta|} \right| \|U\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq \\ & O(\delta^{10}) \|U\|_{\text{Lip}} + \left(\int_{\{|\zeta - z| \geq \frac{1}{2}\} \cap \Pi_\gamma} \frac{dm(\zeta)}{|z - \zeta|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\{|\zeta - z| \geq \frac{1}{2}\} \cap \Pi_\gamma} (1 + \delta^{-1} |\Re \zeta|)^{-20} dm(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|U\|_{\text{Lip}} = O(\sqrt{\delta}) \|U\|_{\text{Lip}} \end{aligned}$$

since $|z| \geq 1$. So, we may take $\varepsilon = \sqrt{\delta} \|U\|_{\text{Lip}}$.

To control the Lipschitz norm of E , we simply use higher derivatives of $\tilde{\tilde{W}}$:

$$|\partial E(z)| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Pi_\gamma} \frac{\bar{\partial} \tilde{\tilde{W}}(\zeta) dm(\zeta)}{(z - \zeta)^2} \right| \lesssim \|\bar{\partial} \tilde{\tilde{W}}\|_{C^1} \lesssim_\delta \|\tilde{f}\|_{\text{Lip}}.$$

□

References

- [1] W. Smith, D. M. Stolyarov, A. Volberg, *Uniform approximation of Bloch functions and the boundedness of the integration operator on H^∞* , Adv. Math. **314** (2017), 185–202.